

SENATOR LUEDTKE: Well it has no--it has a fiscal impact only on paper. It doesn't have any fiscal impact on the tax funds, no tax impact.

SENATOR STULL: No tax impact but it certainly has the impact of the money, if you don't spend it, you'll have it.

SENATOR LUEDTKE: No, not necessarily.

SPEAKER: Senator Stahmer has something to add here I believe. Senator Stahmer.

SENATOR STAHMER: Mr. President and Members of the body, to help clarify this situation, I, I'm going to suggest a motion right now that we include on final reading bills that include cash fund for Federal funds but exclude those with general funds. This would be tax dollars. The bills that, for instance, Senator Luedtke are speaking of, have no effect on the tax dollars. These are payments, for instance, coming in from real estate licenses, etc., have nothing to do one way or another with the tax, raising or lowering the taxes of the State and the same I think could be said for Federal funds. I think it'd be a mistake to box ourselves in and not consider those bills with only a Federal fund impact or a cash fund impact so I'd like to make a motion just to clarify this that we include on final reading bills with cash fund impact or Federal fund impact and still exclude bills that have a general fund impact which would, in effect, raise or lower our taxes.

SPEAKER: Senator Stahmer, will you please put that in writing and give it to the Clerk. Senator Syas. Senator Syas.

SENATOR SYAS: I think we should amend, as long as they're going to open this up again with Senator Stahmer and he's going to clarify it, I think we should put in there for Senator Chambers an exclusion from this motion of 2--what is it, 25--what? Huh? 57, 8 and 9 so that there will be--so we can take them up even though they are general fund bills, then we'll get away from this high center that you've ruled in favor of Senator Chamber on and our to, back to our original intent of yesterday.

SPEAKER: I think that'd be fair. Senator Stahmer indicates that he accepts that. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker and Members of the Legislature. What I did was to try to serve an educational purpose. When things are done in haste, you repent in leisure. Now additional hasty actions are being taken to patch up an action that should not have been taken in the first place in my opinion. Other people feel it should have been taken so rather than try to patch it up now and make it like one of those patchwork quilts, why doesn't each man take some time to determine in his mind what his ultimate position is going to be on the overall issue. We know that all of these things are coming up now, are just side squirmishes. The real battles remain before us and it's going to come up Friday or whatever day we take a vote on whether we'll give it up for this session so I think any further motions and amendments to motions relative to this particular subject matter ought to be voted down today. That's my opinion and I have thought mine through. Contrary to what Senator Carpenter says, I'm not trying to confuse, I'm trying to clarify, the little thing with the motion that Senator Carpenter made and Senator Stull's motion to show you that my thinking is more clear than those who are anxious to get this job done. We're going to have to learn to make the words that we speak reflect what we have in our minds. We cannot all be like Mayor Daly whose top aide told a reporter, don't ever print what the Mayor says, print